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#### Abstract

Reactions of $\mathrm{RuCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cp}^{*}$ with 1-alkynes in non-polar solvents afford the neutral vinylidene complexes $\mathrm{RuCl}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHR})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*}$ $\left[\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Pl}\right.$ (X-ray structure), $\mathrm{Bu}^{\prime}, \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ ]; a novel 1,3 elimination of HCl induced by NaOMe in the presence of a variety of ligands gives the chiral-at-metal complexes $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CR})(\mathrm{L})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*}\left[\mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathrm{X}\right.\right.$-ray structure), $\mathrm{PR}_{3}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OR})_{3}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \mathrm{CS}_{2}$ (for example)].
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The complexes $\left[\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHR}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{Cp}^{+}\right.$and the related acerylides $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CR}) \mathrm{L}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Cp}[\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Ru}, \mathrm{Os} ; \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{CO}$, $\mathrm{PR}_{3}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OR})_{3}$ ] have played a seminal role in the development of the chemistry of the vinylidene ligand [1]. Their reaclivity has been explored by many workers and they are beginning to feature in orgamic synthesis [2]. Vinylidene complexes of other transition metals have also been studied, particularly neutral complexes of Rh and Ir by Werner's group [3] and cationic derivatives of the $\mathrm{RuX}(\mathrm{dppm})_{2}$ [4] and $\mathrm{RuCl}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)(\eta)$-arene [5] systems which have been studied extensively by Dixneuf.

The introduction of the bulky Cp " group has little effect seemingly on the synthesis of vinylidene complexes in the ruthenium system, the complexes $\left.\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHR})\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\right)^{\bullet}\right]^{+}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right.$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OMe}$ and CHMeOMe ) being described recently [6]. More recenty, it was found that the intermediate hydridoalkynyl complexes $\mathrm{RuH}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{R}\right)($ dippe $) \mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\circ}$ ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}$, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ or $\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ ) could be obtained from RuCl(dippe)$\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*}$ and 1 -alkynes in MeOH in the presence of $\mathrm{NaBPh}_{4}$ [7]. These complexes rearrange irreversibly to the corresponding vinylidene complexes.

However, in the case of the larger $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ligand, the reaction takes a different course. We now report that the

[^0]well-established loss of a bulky $\mathrm{PPh}_{4}$ ligand from $\mathrm{RuX}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cp}$ complexes [8] can be applied to the synthesis of neutral vinylidene complexes. Thus, teactions between $\mathrm{RuCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cp}^{\circ}$ and 1 -alkynes in MeOH give a mixture of the cationic $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHR})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{\circ}\right.$ $\mathrm{Cp} \mathrm{J}^{+}$(presumably as the chlorides, but isolated is $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{\text {s }}$ salts) and the neutral complexes $\left.\mathrm{RuCl(C=}=\mathrm{CHR}\right)$. ( $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ) $\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{-}$(1). Reasoning that these products are formed by displacement of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-\prime}$ or $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ respectively. from the precursor, as a result of the presence of the bulky Cp and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ligands, we ran the reactions in a non-polar solvent $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$ to reduce the tendency of the Cl to ionise and thus obtained high yields of the neutral complexes (Scheme 1) [9].

These novel derivatives have been characterised by the usual methods: in particular, the $\nu(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ absorption occurs at ca. $1600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR resonance for the metal-bound carbon is found as a doublet at $\delta$ ca. 340 [9]. Final confirmation of the structure was obtained from a single-crystal X-ray structure determination of $1, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}$ [10]. Fig. I shows a plot of a molecule of this complex, from which it can be seen that the complex adopts the usual piano-stool structure, with $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHPh}$ ligands as the legs. The complex is chiral at ruthenium and crystallises as Pasteur pairs in the chiral space group $P 2,2,2$, . The $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ distances in $\mathbf{1}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph})$ and the cationic ana-

( $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{HC=CR} \\ & \left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)\end{aligned}$
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logue $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CMePh})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cp}\right]^{+}[12]$ are $1.86(1)$ and $1.80(1) \AA$, respectively. The major structural changes are found in the $\mathrm{Ru}=\mathrm{Cl}[2.39(1) \AA]$ and $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{P}[2.305(3)$ $\AA$ § distances which are somewhat shorter than those found for $\mathrm{RuCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cp}[2.448(1)$ and $2.326(1) \AA$. respectively] [13], Structurally related neutral ruthenium complexes were reported during the course of this work. and were made by utilising the hemilabile property of the $O, P$ bound chelating phosphino-ether. $\mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OM} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, to generate a site for the vinylidene ligand [14].


Fis. I. Plot of a molecule of RuC( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHPh})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{1}\right) \mathrm{Cp}^{*}$ (1) showing atom numbering scheme. Selected bond parameters: $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Cl} 2.30 \times 1$. $R u=\mathrm{P}(1)$ 2.305(3), $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{C}(1) 1,80(1), \mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{C}(0)$ (centroid of $\mathrm{Cp}^{\circ}$ ring) 1.930(6), C(1)-C(2) 1.40(2) À; C(1)-Ru-Cl 128.2(4), Cl-Ru-P(1) 89.2(1). $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ 88.5(4), $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) 176(1)^{\circ}$.

The reactivity of the neutral complexes 1 is of interest. We have found that a novel 1.3 elimination of HCl occurs when 1 is treated with NaOMe in the presence of a 2 e -donor ligand:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{RuCl}(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CHR})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cp}^{*}+\mathrm{NaOMe}+\mathrm{L} \longrightarrow \\
& \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CR})(\mathrm{L})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cp} p^{*}+\mathrm{MeOH}+\mathrm{NaCl}
\end{aligned}
$$

This novel reaction allows the introduction of a wide variety of ligands, of which $\mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{PR}_{3}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OR})_{3}$, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ serve as examples [15]. The acetonitrile complex ( $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{MeCN}$; prepared in situ) can also be used as a precursor when $L$ can reat with NaOMe . The products are chiral at Ru (unless $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ) and they are obtained in high yield from reactions carried out in MeOH under mild conditions. Full details of these studies are deferred until the full paper, but the formation and molecular structure of the $\eta$-ethene complex (2; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bu}^{\prime}, \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ) are illustrative. Addition of NaOMe to a suspension of $1\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bu}^{1}\right)$ in MeOH while bubbling ethene through the mixture results in a rapid colour change to yellow; complex $2\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bu}^{\prime}\right.$, $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ) was isolated in $55 \%$ yield. A plot of a molecule of this complex is shown in Fig. 2 [10]. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra indicate that the ethene ligand is fluxional, probably by rotation around the axis joining the mid-point of the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond with the Ru atom. Again the familiar three-legged piano-stool structure is found, with the ethene $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ double bond being parallel to the $\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\text {* }}$ ring plane $[\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{C}(1,2) 2.186,2.169(7) \AA \AA]$. The $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ distance $[2.033(6) \AA$ ] is normal [cf. $2.016(3) \AA$ in $\left.\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cp}\right][16]$.

We have developed a significant amount of related chemistry which will be described elsewhere. However,


Fig. 2. Plot of a molecule of $\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CBu}^{\prime}\right)\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cp}{ }^{\text {² }}$ (2) showing atom numbering scheme. Selected bond parameters: $\mathbf{R u}-\mathrm{P}$ (I) 2.300(3), Ru-C(1) 2.186(7), Ru-C(2) 2.169(7), Ru-C(3) 2.033(6), $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{C}(0)$ (centroid of $\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*}$ ring) $1.90_{2}, \mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) 1.386(9), \mathrm{C}(3)-$ $\mathrm{C}(4) 1.190(8) \mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{P}(1) 83.3(2), \mathrm{C}(1,2)-\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{P}(1)$ 93.8(2), $\mathrm{C}(1,2)-\mathrm{R} 11-\mathrm{C}(3) 95.6(2), \mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4) 179.0(5), \mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(41)$ $174.2(7)^{\circ}$.
it is pertinent to note here that the vinylidene ligand can be displaced by, for example, tertiary phosphites (which give complexes $\left.\mathrm{RuCl}\left(\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OR})_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cp}^{\circ}\right)$, which reaction is in marked contrast to the lack of substitutional reactivity found with the cationic analogues.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the synthesis of simple neutral ruthenium-vinylidene complexes by displacement of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ from $\mathrm{RuCl}\left(\mathrm{PPl}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cp}$ * and the facile elimination of HCl in the presence of a variety of 2e-donor ligands to give the related acetylide complexes $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{CmCR})(\mathrm{L})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cp}$. Both the vinylidene and acetylide complexes are chiral at ruthenium (unless $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ).
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